

IT'S LIFE GORDON, BUT NOT AS GOD MADE IT

Captain, our catch phrases are under attack



In today's 'enlightened' age, being 'christian' can cover a multitude of things and one's conscience can become a 'god' over-riding Biblical instruction and 2,000 years of tradition.

The previous Prime Minister became a Catholic, but managed to introduce policies that were completely at odds with the teachings of the Biblical Jesus.

Now Gordon Brown has tried to whip obedience to the beat of his conscience, to more rapidly progressing the healing sciences involving stem cell research.

His cabinet colleagues who are Catholic have rebelled. Consequently Gordon has agreed to allow a free vote over the Human Fertilisation and Embryology Bill.

Cardinal Keith O'Brien used a homily on Easter Sunday to accuse Mr Brown of seeking to pass a law which represents a "monstrous attack on human rights, human dignity and human life".



He was joined by such high-profile clerics as Cardinal Cormac Murphy-O'Connor, Archbishop Peter Smith of Cardiff, Archbishop Vincent Nichols of Birmingham and Bishop Patrick O'Donoghue of Lancaster, each of whom said it was wrong for Mr Brown to try to stop Labour MPs from voting according to Christian teaching.

Why should anyone hinder the scientific vigour of our finest, to heal and benefit mankind? The reason is the God affect. The rub is, who will guide us as to what it is that God wants and expects? Today's science seems to have outstripped Biblical instruction. Or has it? Is a life form that is 99.9% human but 0.1% cow, human and sacred?

Dr David King, of Human Genetics Alert, said, as regard animal/human stem cell research, "... they would have so many errors of their metabolism that they would produce completely misleading data."

Bishop of Durham, Rt Rev Tom Wright says, "Our present government has been pushing through, hard and fast, legislation that comes from a militantly atheist and secularist lobby."

RC Archbishop of St Andrews & Edinburgh, **Cardinal Keith O'Brien**, in an Easter sermon, compared the plans, to the creation of Frankenstein's monster.

Bishop Patrick of Lancaster, commented, "It is not the defenceless, human-animal embryo, that is 'monstrous', it is we ourselves who have become 'monsters' for allowing the exploitation of the unborn for our economic and medical gain."



Thank God for honest and courageous guidance from those paid and pensioned to lead us.

Even a brief excursion into the moral maze of stem cell research will leave most people confused.

In the AD 1950s one of the lies of the 'embryo' Nuclear research establishment was that they would create cheap electricity. Billions of pounds in development, construction and containment of its sinister waste has established a monster so powerful and influential as to be unstoppable.

God created an earth, rich in natural power for us to tap into, (wave, river, wind, sun, etc.), but man wanted to be a god and created his own inferior product that is anti life. A beast whose waste product will certainly not bring up the roses.

Today, many people are still easily led like lemmings over a cliff by the plausible propaganda of 'experts' and media myth promising 'miraculous' cures and that the ends justify the means. The problem, now, as in the AD 1950s 'atomic' media soup, is that the average person struggles with understanding his DVD recorder, never mind the complex sciences that pioneered the creation of Hiroshima's demise, or dolly the two headed sheep.

We need people of integrity and with courage to face the secular media, to guide us through the moral maze, but more importantly, we also need people with supernatural discernment as regards what it is that our Father in Heaven wants us to agree to.

And of course, they also need courage, to face ridicule, scorn and misrepresentation by the secularists and their 'fellows'.

FACTS

Adult stem cell research (cells taken from adults) has already resulted in remedies, such as for damaged heart tissue, whereas embryo stem cell research has not.

The scientific 'creation' of embryos, allows for easier and quicker access to the 'best' kind of stem cells.

'Creating' embryonic life with a human component has moral and spiritual implications.

Aborted embryonic life is also a source of stem cells, but such supply is not 'on tap' and also raises moral and ethical questions. However, supporters say that once such embryonic


life is terminated, its use is no different than that of dead, organ donors.

There are other non/less controversial methods of acquiring human stem cells, but which are far less efficient than 'breeding' embryonic cells.

Pro embryonic cell researchers say that such life will be terminated after 14 days.

Some Christian leaders say that all human life is sacred and cannot be created and destroyed for whatever reason.

This magazine says, 'can we trust the experts' - see next page.



IS HUMAN LIFE SACRED and when does human life have a spirit and a soul?

Why has our Parliament allowed the creation of hybrid human/animal embryos, while America, Canada and Australia have banned such experimentation? Lucifer will do anything to destroy life, even masquerading as an angel of light, a force for good who promises cures, if only we will allow ourselves to become 'gods' like him.

The truth is that life does not arrive as a nib on a blank page. It is more like a fine delicate brush of three fine strands each with a defined purpose, but in parallel. The body, the soul, the spirit.

The orthodox teaching is that human life begins at the moment of conception. The Catholic Church teaches the creationist view that the spiritual and immortal soul is created immediately by God."

The present Catechism of the Catholic Church defines the soul as "the innermost aspect of man, that which is of greatest value in him, that by which he is most especially in God's image."

In Sacred Scripture the term "soul" often refers to human life, or the entire human person. But "soul" also refers to the innermost aspect of man, that which is of greatest value in him, that by which he is most especially in God's image:

If human life is sacred and if human life begins at the moment of conception and if at the moment of conception a human being has a soul and a spirit, then how can we experiment with it? When the human life, consisting of one cell, or more, dies, then what is the effect on the spirit and the soul? Are they of no consequence?

If there is a supernatural spiritual realm as reported in the ancient Holy scriptures, then what is created in the spiritual realm when a life is created that is part human and part animal, given that what we bind on earth is bound in Heaven?

Can we believe the 'experts'?

Experts now say that mixing the essence of mankind with the animal kingdom is harmless, because of the integrity of the safeguards of our laws that say 14 days and no longer. When has science ever acted responsibly and said here and no further? The truth is that if it can be done, it will be done, regardless of what horror it spawns, under Satan's influence.

If not here, then somewhere else and it may be that possibility and the possible billions of £/\$ profit that may come from spin offs that tempts even honourable people in this country, to sell their souls.

As for safety, remember the recent Foot and Mouth

Epidemic was caused by an escape from a 'safe' laboratory!

The HIV virus is a life form believed to have been created from a mutant strain derived both from human and animal source and just like the life form of cancerous cells, it kills its host. What 'force' drives such a principal of life, other than Lucifer?

When Jesus said, "I have come to give you life and life in all its fullness", he wasn't talking about man made mutants. There is a lot to pray about.

The track record of the 'experts' isn't good

Experts said that Windscale would bring us almost 'free' electricity. The Americans got their bomb making material and England got an estimated 240 cancer deaths caused by radioactive discharge of the fire in Pile 1 in AD 1957. There was no very cheap electricity.

God gave us extraordinary coastal, river and wind energy, but man prefers to play god and create his own inferior product, that costs billions £/\$ and stockpiles an evil waste that is beyond the wit of man to make benign. The dominance of 'nuclear' is of the Devil. It has sucked investment from the development of safe green alternatives, like a vampire at the throat of life, determined to destroy the host, because Lucifer knows his time is running out.

Experts said that privatising industry and even hospitals would increase efficiencies and services. We ended up with MRSA killing our most vulnerable citizens, train crashes, call centres in India, unjustified price increases, fat cat Chief Executives, etc., etc...

Experts said that there were weapons of mass destruction. Our armed forces have suffered more, keeping the peace than winning the war and apparently it wasn't about oil fields. The experts had no plan for post war Iraq and seemed clueless about the culture and the power of Islam. Crippled soldiers now fight for their own justice in securing proper care back home, many of whom were inadequately equipped.

Experts say that terrorism requires us to carry identity cards. Yet deaths by terrorists, pale alongside the figures for deaths by vehicles, industry, MRSA, drugs, etc.. and it isn't just 'unknown' terrorists that want to kill us. Many are intelligent, articulate British voters.

Experts say we need hundreds of surveillance cameras so Big Brother can protect us. Really? Who man's these cameras? People of impeccable integrity?

One expert says that man is causing global warming. Another expert says, we are in a normal cycle in the planet's life. Western governments have a vested interest in influencing for vastly lower levels of CO2 emissions from their industrial competitors, thus slowing (or even halting) the economic tigers of countries who will one day determine the world's destiny. Who do we believe?